#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility	Address:	Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, Maryland
Facility	EPA ID #:	MDD 04 800 5839
1.	groundwater, sur	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, face water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste ts (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this?
	<u>X</u>	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

# **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Westvaco Corporation

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### <u>Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI</u>

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

**Facility Name:** 

**BACKGROUND** 

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X			PCE (7.0 ppb )and Chloroform (3.2 ppb)
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		
Air (outdoors)		X		

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.



If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Tetrachloroethene (PCE) and chloroform have been identified above their respective Risk Based Concentrations (RBC's) in on-site production wells from sampling events in 1986 and 1987.

#### Refs.:

Environmental Priorities Initiative Preliminary Assessment of Westvaco. Final Report, November, 1989. Prepared by Maryland Department of the Environment.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

## **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media Reside	ents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater	no	no	no	no			no
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

_X	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Although analytical results (1986&1987) of groundwater samples collected from the former production wells at the Westvaco facility revealed low levels of a couple of organic compounds, there does not appear to be any nearby groundwater users that are likely to be impacted. Based on the topography in the area of the facility, groundwater will most likely flow to the south and discharge into Hammond Branch located adjacent to the facility property. No residential properties have been identified immediately south of the site.

Page 4

There is no documentation of spills or dumping activities at the Westvaco facility that could possibly present a human exposure risk. An underground storage tank was properly removed and a closure notice was provided to Westvaco by MDE in September 1993.

#### Refs.:

Environmental Priorities Initiative Preliminary Assessment of Westvaco. Final Report, November, 1989. Prepared by Maryland Department of the Environment.

Site Survey of Westvaco. Maryland Department of the Environment. June, 1999.

Notice of Compliance. Correspondence from Maryland Department of the Environment, Oil Control Program, to Mr. Robert Kilroy, Westvaco, Inc. September 24, 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 5

4.	Can the <b>exposures</b> from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " <b>significant</b> " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  Rationale and Reference(s):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Page 7

	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Coveriew of the information contained in this EI E are expected to be "Under Control" at the West 04 800 5839, located at Laurel, Maryland und conditions. This determination will be re-evaluation aware of significant changes at the facility.	Determination, "Current Human Extvaco Corporation facility, EPA II er current and reasonably expected
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "U	Inder Control."
	IN - More information is needed to make a de	etermination.
Completed by	(signature)	Date <u>07-18-01</u>
	(print) William Wentworth (title) Remedial Project Manager	
Cum anvisa n	(ciamatura)	Data 07 19 01
Supervisor	(signature) (print) Robert E. Greaves	Date <u>07-18-01</u>
		<u></u>
	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3	h
	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3	h
	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found:	h
	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found: RCRA and CERCLA file rooms.	h
EPA Region III 1650 Arch Stree	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found: RCRA and CERCLA file rooms.	h
EPA Region III 1650 Arch Stree	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found: RCRA and CERCLA file rooms.	h
EPA Region III 1650 Arch Stree Philadelphia, Pa	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found: RCRA and CERCLA file rooms.	h
EPA Region III 1650 Arch Stree Philadelphia, Pa	(title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) Region 3  e References may be found: RCRA and CERCLA file rooms.  t . 19103	h

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.